

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Energy-momentum conservation in pre-metric electrodynamics with magnetic charges

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 2004 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 37 7163 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/37/28/007)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 171.66.16.91 The article was downloaded on 02/06/2010 at 18:23

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 37 (2004) 7163-7168

PII: S0305-4470(04)79124-6

Energy–momentum conservation in pre-metric electrodynamics with magnetic charges

Gerald Kaiser

Center for Signals and Waves, Austin, TX, USA

E-mail: kaiser@wavelets.com

Received 13 April 2004, in final form 22 May 2004 Published 30 June 2004 Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/37/7163 doi:10.1088/0305-4470/37/28/007

Abstract

A necessary and sufficient condition for energy-momentum conservation is proved within a topological, pre-metric approach to classical electrodynamics including magnetic as well as electric charges. The extended Lorentz force, consisting of mutual actions by $F \sim (E, B)$ on the electric current and $G \sim$ (H, D) on the magnetic current, can be derived from an energy-momentum 'potential' if and only if the constitutive relation G = G(F) satisfies a certain vanishing condition. The electric-magnetic reciprocity introduced by Hehl and Obukhov is shown to define a one-parameter family \circledast_z of complex structures on the product space of 2-form pairs (F, G), independent of any spacetime metric, which reduces to the product of two Hodge star operators once a Lorentzian metric is introduced. In contrast to a recent claim made in the literature, it does *not* define a complex structure on the space of 2-forms itself.

PACS numbers: 02.30.Em, 02.30.Jr, 03.50.De, 41.20.Jb

1. Pre-metric electrodynamics with magnetic and electric charges

In a recent monograph [HO3], Hehl and Obukhov gave an axiomatic formulation of *pre-metric* classical electrodynamics. In that approach, the initial assumptions on spacetime are minimal: it is a differentiable 4-manifold M foliated by a 'topological time' parameter σ . Maxwell's equations are expressed in terms of the *field strength* 2-form $F \sim (E, B)$, the *field excitation* 2-form $G \sim (H, D)$ and the electric current 3-form $J \sim (j, \rho)$ by

$$\mathrm{d}F = 0 \qquad F = B + E \wedge \mathrm{d}\sigma \tag{1}$$

$$dG = J \qquad G = D - H \wedge d\sigma \qquad J = \rho - j \wedge d\sigma \tag{2}$$

where (E, H) are 1-forms, (B, D, j) are 2-forms and ρ is a 3-from, all spatial (pullbacks from the leaves). *G* and *J* are *twisted* forms, variously called *densities* [B85, p 183], *pseudoforms*

0305-4470/04/287163+06\$30.00 © 2004 IOP Publishing Ltd Printed in the UK 7163

[F1] or *odd* forms [R84], while *F* is untwisted. Additional spacetime structures, including light cones and other elements of Lorentzian spacetime, emerge only after further conditions are imposed via *constitutive relations* defining the medium in which the fields propagate.

The purpose of this paper is to show that the magnetic flux conservation axiom in [HO3], represented above by the first equation (1), is unnecessary. To see this, note first that the only fundamental use made of dF = 0 is in establishing the condition for energy-momentum conservation in [HO3, section B.5]. I will prove this condition *without* assuming dF = 0 in the more general setting of *n* spacetime dimensions and fields represented by a *p*-form *F* and an (n - p)-form *G*. We assume that *G* and *J* are twisted, though this will play no role in the proof. For n = 4 and p = 2, the conservation of magnetic flux is replaced by the conservation of magnetic charge, whose current is represented by the 3-form dF.

Magnetic charges have been discussed extensively by Schwinger [S75], and conserved energy-momentum tensors have been constructed in the presence of magnetic currents by Rund [R77] and Moulin [M1]. But to the best of my knowledge, the construction given here is the first that does not presuppose a spacetime metric or constitutive relations. I will establish a necessary and sufficient condition for electromagnetic energy-momentum conservation in the above setting. The choice of a Lorentzian metric then provides a sufficient, but not necessary, condition.

Given a vector field u on M, denote the contraction of F by u and its Lie derivation along u by

$$uF \equiv u \rfloor F$$
 $\mathcal{L}_u F = d(uF) + u dF$

Since the (n + 1)-forms $F \wedge dG$ and $dF \wedge G$ must vanish, we have

$$u(F \wedge dG) = uF \wedge dG + \hat{p}F \wedge u \, dG = 0 \qquad \text{where} \quad \hat{p} \equiv (-1)^p$$

$$u(dF \wedge G) = u \, dF \wedge G - \hat{p} \, dF \wedge uG = 0. \tag{3}$$

Therefore,

$$d(F \wedge uG) = dF \wedge uG + \hat{p}F \wedge d(uG)$$

= dF \land uG + \hboldow F \land (\mathcal{L}_uG - u dG)
= \hboldow F \land \mathcal{L}_uG + dF \land uG + uF \land dG
= \hboldow F \land \mathcal{L}_uG + f_u (4)

where

$$f_u \equiv \mathrm{d}F \wedge uG + uF \wedge \mathrm{d}G. \tag{5}$$

Similarly,

$$\hat{p} d(uF \wedge G) = \hat{p}(d(uF) \wedge G - \hat{p}uF \wedge dG)$$

$$= \hat{p}(\mathcal{L}_uF - u dF) \wedge G - uF \wedge dG$$

$$= \hat{p}\mathcal{L}_uF \wedge G - dF \wedge uG - uF \wedge dG$$

$$= \hat{p}\mathcal{L}_uF \wedge G - f_u.$$
(6)

Taking the sum of (4) and (6) gives

 $d(uF \wedge G + \hat{p}F \wedge uG) = du(F \wedge G) = \mathcal{L}_uF \wedge G + F \wedge \mathcal{L}_uG = \mathcal{L}_u(F \wedge G)$

which is trivial by the definition of \mathcal{L}_u since $u \operatorname{d}(F \wedge G) = 0$ as $F \wedge G$ is an *n*-form. Therefore, the entire content of (4) and (6) is in the *difference*, which we write as

$$d\Sigma_u = f_u + \phi_u \tag{7}$$



Figure 1. Reciprocity in action. The field F generated by the magentic current K acts on the electric current J, and the field G generated by J in turn acts on K.

where

$$\Sigma_u = \frac{1}{2} (F \wedge uG - \hat{p}uF \wedge G)$$
(8)

$$\phi_u = \frac{\hat{p}}{2} (F \wedge \mathcal{L}_u G - \mathcal{L}_u F \wedge G).$$
(9)

Specializing to n = 4 and p = 2, F is interpreted as the field strength and G as the excitation generated by the *electric and magnetic currents*

$$J \equiv \mathrm{d}G \qquad K \equiv \mathrm{d}F. \tag{10}$$

The 3-form Σ_u is the local *kinematical energy–momentum field density* and the 4-form

$$f_u \equiv K \wedge uG + uF \wedge J \tag{11}$$

is the *extended Lorentz force density*, reducing to the one defined in [HO3] for K = 0.¹ Equation (7) shows that Σ_u acts as a *potential* for f_u if and only if $\phi_u = 0$. That is, ϕ_u is the *obstruction* to energy-momentum conservation. The spacetime components of energymomentum and force are obtained by choosing a local coordinate basis of vector fields $u = \partial_{\alpha} (\alpha = 0, 1, 2, 3)$.

Let us collect our expressions for n = 4 and p = 2 in the form

$$\Sigma_{u}(F,G) = \frac{1}{2}(F \wedge uG - G \wedge uF)$$

$$f_{u}(F,G) = uF \wedge dG - uG \wedge dF$$

$$\phi_{u}(F,G) = \frac{1}{2}(F \wedge \mathcal{L}_{u}G - G \wedge \mathcal{L}_{u}F).$$
(12)

Note that all three expressions are bilinear in (F, G) and odd under the exchange $F \leftrightarrow G$, hence invariant under the *electric-magnetic reciprocity* substitution [HO3]

$$F \to F' = zG$$
 and $G \to G' = -z^{-1}F$ (13)

where z is any real nonzero parameter. Since F and F' have the dimensions of *action* per unit charge while G and G' have the dimensions of charge, z has the dimensions of *impedance* (usually denoted in textbooks by Z). Furthermore, to keep F' twist-free and G' twisted, z must transform as a *pseudoscalar* (or scalar of *odd type*, in the language of de Rham [R84]), changing sign under orientation-reversing coordinate transformations. Reciprocity is a precursor of *electric-magnetic duality* in the absence of a metric, as explained below. Its manifestation can be seen in the subtle structure of the extended Lorentz force, which states that the field F, generated by the magnetic current K, exerts a force on the electric current J which generates G, and G in turn exerts a force on K, as depicted in figure 1.

¹ Σ_u is 'kinematical' because in the pre-metric setting there is insufficient structure to define a *dynamics*. This is somewhat analogous to a physical system described in *phase space* (a symplectic manifold) before a specific Hamiltonian is selected.

To complete the picture, recall that the Maxwell system is underdetermined and must be supplemented with constitutive relations before a solution can be contemplated. In the present context, this takes the general form [P62]

$$G = G(F) \tag{14}$$

which includes nonlinear or even nonlocal relations that may be inconsistent with the existence of a local metric but nevertheless describe electromagnetic phenomena in media. Requiring (14) to be linear, local, symmetric and to preserve electric-magnetic reciprocity invariance generates a light-cone structure on spacetime, which determines a metric up to a conformal factor [HO3, section D.6.1] and reduces (14) to

$$G = Z^{-1} * F + \alpha F$$

where *F is the *Hodge dual* of *F* [F1] according to a reference metric in the conformal class, *Z* is a conformal factor (like *z*, a pseudoscalar field with dimensions of impedance) and α , called an *axion field*, is also pseudoscalar. If we further assume that $\alpha = 0$ and choose the metric in the class with constant $Z = Z_0$, this reduces to

$$G = Z_0^{-1} * F (15)$$

which is the *Maxwell–Lorentz spacetime relation* describing electromagnetic propagation in a (possibly curved) vacuum spacetime if Z_0 is interpreted as the *vacuum impedance* $\sqrt{\mu_0/\epsilon_0}$ in SI units. From (15) it follows that

$$2Z_0\phi_u = F \wedge \mathcal{L}_u * F - \mathcal{L}_u F \wedge *F = F \wedge *\mathcal{L}_u F - \mathcal{L}_u F \wedge *F = 0$$

hence Σ_u is a 'potential' for f_u :

$$f_u = \mathrm{d}\Sigma_u.$$

The same argument holds for general *n* and *p*, with some sign differences.

2. Pre-metric complex structure

The Hodge duality operator associated with a Lorentzian metric defines a *complex structure* on the space Ω^2 of 2-forms, i.e.,

$$*: \Omega^2 \to \Omega^2 \qquad **F = -F. \tag{16}$$

Equations (13) and (15) show that reciprocity is a precursor of Hodge duality, i.e., that

$$F' \equiv zG \sim *F \qquad G' \equiv -z^{-1}F \sim *G. \tag{17}$$

It is tempting to suppose that reciprocity actually *defines* a pre-metric complex structure on 2-forms by writing

$$\circledast F = zG \qquad \circledast G = -z^{-1}F \tag{18}$$

as was done by Hehl and Obukhov in [HO4]. However, this is *incorrect*. In (18), we must know both *F* and *G* to compute their images under \circledast and there is no way of defining $\circledast H$ for an arbitrary 2-form *H*. Instead, reciprocity defines a complex structure on the *product space* $\Omega^2 \times \tilde{\Omega}^2$, where Ω^2 is the space of 2-forms and $\tilde{\Omega}^2$ is the space of twisted 2-forms. Namely,

$$\circledast_z(F,G) = (zG, -z^{-1}F) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \circledast_z^2(F,G) = -(F,G) \tag{19}$$

where we have included the pseudoscalar z in the notation for the operator \circledast_z . In fact, definition (19) descends to the *tensor product*

$$\tilde{\Omega}^{2,2} = \Omega^2 \otimes \tilde{\Omega}^2 \tag{20}$$

since the latter is invariant under transformation on $\Omega^2 \times \tilde{\Omega}^2$ of the type

$$(F,G) \mapsto (kF,k^{-1}G) \qquad k \neq 0. \tag{21}$$

The eigenvectors of \circledast_z are the *self-reciprocal* pairs

$$\left(F_{z}^{\pm}, G_{z}^{\pm}\right) = (F, G) \mp \mathbf{i} \circledast_{z} (F, G) = (F \mp \mathbf{i}zG, G \pm \mathbf{i}z^{-1}F)$$

$$(22)$$

with

$$\circledast_z \left(F_z^{\pm}, G_z^{\pm} \right) = \pm \mathrm{i} \left(F_z^{\pm}, G_z^{\pm} \right).$$
(23)

Note that

$$F_z^{\pm} = \mp \mathrm{i} z G_z^{\pm},$$

which is not surprising since the two-dimensional *real* space spanned by the pair (F, G) (at a given spacetime point) is equivalent to a one-dimensional *complex* space. When a Lorentzian metric is chosen and the vacuum relations (15) are satisfied, the self-reciprocal pairs with $z = Z_0$ become

$$(F_z^{\pm}, G_z^{\pm}) = (F \mp i * F, G \mp i * G) = (F^{\pm}, G^{\pm})$$
 (24)

where F^{\pm} and G^{\pm} are the *self-dual* forms with respect to the Hodge operator of the given metric,

$$*F^{\pm} = \pm iF^{\pm}$$
 $*G^{\pm} = \pm iG^{\pm}$

To summarize, we have two levels of complex structure.

- Given only a differentiable 4-manifold M, there is a one-parameter family of complex structures $\circledast_z (z \neq 0)$ on $\Omega^2 \times \tilde{\Omega}^2$ preserving the kinematical energy-momentum form Σ_u and the extended Lorentz force f_u .
- Choosing a Lorentzian metric on *M* and assuming the vacuum relation (15), the reciprocity operator \circledast_z with $z = Z_0$ factors into the product of two Hodge operators defined by the metric:

$$\circledast_{z}(F,G) = (*F,*G).$$
 (25)

• The class of constitutive relations equation (14) yielding the condition $\phi_u = 0$ (equivalent to the potential condition $f_u = d\Sigma_u$) will in general depend on *K* because ϕ_u involves the exterior derivatives dF. The specific connection between this class and the choice of *K* remains to be investigated².

3. Conclusions

It has been shown that the pre-metric formulation of classical electrodynamics given in [HO3] has a natural generalization. The conservation of magnetic flux and electric charge (dF = 0, dG = J) is replaced by the duality-symmetric conservation of magnetic and electric charge (dF = K, dG = J). The potential relation $f_u = d\Sigma_u \iff \phi_u = 0$ remains true if the Lorentz force in [HO3] is replaced by its symmetric version equation (12). The symmetry of the extended theory under electric-magnetic duality is reflected by the existence of the one-parameter family of complex structures \circledast_z on $\Omega^2 \times \tilde{\Omega}^2$ which factor into products of Hodge star operators equation (25) once a Lorentzian metric is assumed so that the Maxwell–Lorentz relation $G = Z_0^{-1} * F$ is satisfied.

² I thank the referee for raising this question.

Acknowledgments

I thank Fred Hehl and Yuri Obukhov for stimulating discussions, and Arje Nachman for support under AFOSR grant no F49620-01-1-0271.

References

- [B85] Burke W B 1985 Applied Differential Geometry (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [F1] Frankel T 2001 The Geometry of Physics 2nd edn (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [HO3] Hehl F W and Obukhov Y N 2003 Foundations of Classical Electrodynamics: Charge, Flux and Metric (Progress in Mathematical Physics vol 33) (Boston: Birkhäuser)
- [HO4] Hehl F W and Obukhov Y N 2004 Electric/magnetic reciprocity in premetric electrodynamics with and without magnetic charge, and the complex electromagnetic field *Phys. Lett.* A 323 169–75
- [M1] Moulin F 2001 Magnetic monopoles and Lorentz force Nuovo Cimento B 116 869-77
- [P62] Post EJ 1962 Formal Structure of Electromagnetics—General Covariance and Electromagnetics (Amsterdam: North-Holland)

Post E J 1997 Formal Structure of Electromagnetics—General Covariance and Electromagnetics (New York: Dover)

- [R77] Rund H 1977 Energy-momentum tensors in the theory of electromagnetic fields admitting electric and magnetic charge distributions J. Math. Phys. 18 1312–5
- [R84] de Rham G 1984 Differentiable Manifolds: Forms, Currents, Harmonic Forms (Heidelberg: Springer)
- [S75] Schwinger J 1975 Magnetic charge and the charge quantization condition Phys. Rev. D 12 3105–11